

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/825,725	04/15/2004	Jutta Lindemann	63665.00001	8868	
759	90 05/19/2006		EXAM	INER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			CHEUNG, W	CHEUNG, WILLIAM K	
Two Renaissand	ce Square				
Suite 2700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
40 North Central Avenue			1713	1713	
Phoenix, AZ 8	35004-4498		DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/825,725	LINDEMANN, JUTTA				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William K. Cheung	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	· ·	e final rejection, whicheve	eris later In no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th			or io later. In the			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			. (DTOL 004)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.7 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).			
i.						
the non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		ill be entered and an ,	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:			

WILLIAM K. CHEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that the composition of Makino et al. is for use in civil enginering and construction application where silane coupling agents are used to improve the adhesion between the resins and the fillers. There is no motivation to incorporate a phosphoric ester as an adhesion promoter that has been taught in Borden et al. into the composition of Makino et al. However, the examiner disagree because the adhesion promoter of Borden et al. is not restricted to the polyurethane application of Borden et al. because Borden et al. (abstract) clearly teach its compatibility with thermoplastic, acrylic, and gel coat materials that are very similar to the acrylic composition of Makino et al. Therefore, the rejection set forth in the Final rejection of February 14, 2006 is proper.

WILLIAM K. CHEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER